

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,555	11/24/2003	Yasuyuki Ikeguchi	JIM-0222	1265
38834 7590 02/25/2008 WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW			EXAMINER	
			ALAM, MUSHFIKH I	
SUITE 700	SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGIC	N, DC 20030	0030	2623	
•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/718,555	IKEGUCHI, YASUYUKI					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
•	Mushfikh Alam	2623					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value of the provision of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become AB ANDONE!	N. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status	•						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 D</u>	<u>ecember 2007</u> .						
,—							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>4-6</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>4-6</u> is/are rejected.						
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
11)[] The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form P10-132.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)	4) Interview Summary	/PTO 413\					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application					

10/718,555 Art Unit: 2623

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 12/5/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Claim 4, Applicant argues Ibrahim Sezan discloses a system for managing audiovisual information from sources such as terrestrial sources, digital video disc, cable television, analog broadcast television, digital broadcast television, analog radio broadcasts, and digital radio broadcasts. This information permits the user to select among these different sources and increase effectiveness by narrowing down the choices to those sources that are available to the user, such as terrestrial broadcast which is more widely available than satellite broadcast. In other words, the user receives all the broadcast channels available on the chosen source. However, the system lacks any means for erasing simulcast analog channel if present on the source broadcast.

Applicant further argues that similarly, as illustrated in Fig. 2 and described in paragraph [0020], the Arora reference removes channel from surf list based on user preferences. However, the Arora reference, like the Ibrahim Seza reference, does not disclose a means for erasing simulcast analog channels which may be present on the source on which the user preferred channels resides.

In response to Applicant's arguments, reading the claims in the broadest sense, it is clearly noted in the Ibrahim Sezan reference that filtering of content may be utilized

10/718,555 Art Unit: 2623

(paragraph [0235]). This filtering is based upon user preferences. Also, a user may choose to filter (erase) any analog channels and only receive digital channels (paragraph [0236]). Similarly, the Arora reference further teaches a customizable list of broadcast programs for use in combination with the ability to recognize analog and digital simulcast as taught by Aria.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aria et al. (2002/0133820) in view of Ibrahim Sezan et al. (2004/0268390) and further in view of Arora (2003/00189720).

Claim 4, Aria teaches a broadcasting receiver configured such that a channel can be changed by comprising a channel UP/DOWN key, a broadcasting receiver comprising:

an analog broadcasting receiving unit (100) for receiving analog broadcasting (see fig. 1;
 paragraph [0008]);

10/718,555 Art Unit: 2623

- a digital broadcasting receiving unit (100) for receiving digital broadcasting (see fig. 1;
 paragraph [0008]);
- means for acquiring information as to whether or not the same program is being broadcast in analog broadcasting and digital broadcasting, to judge whether or not there is simulcast (see fig. 68, 70; paragraph [0214]); and

Aria does not teach a broadcasting receiver configured such that a channel can be changed by comprising a channel UP/DOWN key, a broadcasting receiver comprising:

means for erasing an analog channel on the basis of an internal clock from a list in said channel
 UP/DOWN key.

Ibrahim Sezan teaches a broadcasting receiver configured such that a channel can be changed by comprising a channel UP/DOWN key (EPG), a broadcasting receiver comprising:

 means for erasing a channel (i.e. a user choosing a digital channel preference will erase analog channels) on the basis of an internal clock (broadcast schedule) in said channel UP/DOWN key (graphical user interface) (see paragraph [0236]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided a user preference where a user would prefer digital channels as taught by Ibrahim Sezan to the broadcasting system of Aria because it permits a user to narrow down the choices of channels he would like to view (see paragraph [0235]).

10/718,555 Art Unit: 2623

Arora teaches a broadcasting receiver configured such that a channel can be changed by comprising a channel UP/DOWN key (EPG), a broadcasting receiver comprising:

means for erasing a channel (removing a channel from surf list based on user preferences) on the
basis of an internal clock (broadcast schedule of Ibrahim Sezan) from a list (surf list) in said channel
UP/DOWN key (EPG) (see fig. 2; paragraph [0020]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided a customizable channel list (surf list) based on user preferences as taught by Arora, where the user preference is to only view digital channels as taught by Ibrahim Sezan to the broadcasting system of Aria because a user can define how he would like his surf list to be displayed (see paragraph [0020]).

Claim 5, Aria teaches the broadcasting receiver further comprising:

 means for displaying on a screen a message ("same program now serving on digital BS") that the same program is being broadcast in digital broadcasting when analog broadcasting in simulcast is being received (fig. 68).

Claim 6, Aria teaches the broadcasting receiver wherein

digital broadcasting in simulcast is received when a predetermined key (selecting to switch to digital
 BS) is operated in a state where said message is displayed (fig. 68; paragraph [0216]).

10/718,555 Art Unit: 2623

Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Inquiries

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mushfikh Alam whose telephone number is (571) 270-1710. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri: 8:30-18:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivek Srivastava can be reached on (571) 272-7304. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/718,555 Art Unit: 2623

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MA 1/14/2008

VIVEK SRIVASTAVA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600